UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT **DISTRICT OF NEVADA**

* * *

3

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

11

10

12

13 14

15 16

17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25

Case No.: 2:13-cv-01401-RFB-CWH

ORDER ACCEPTING REPORT RECOMMENDATION OF MAGISTRATE

Plaintiff.

CAROLYN W. COLVIN, Acting Commissioner of Social Security Administration.

ROBERT L. WILDE,

v.

Defendant.

Before the Court for consideration is the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 19) of the Honorable Carl W. Hoffman, United States Magistrate Judge, entered January 22, 2015.

A district court "may accept, reject, or modify, in whole or in part, the findings or recommendations made by the magistrate." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1). A party may file specific written objections to the findings and recommendations of a magistrate judge. 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); Local Rule IB 3-2(a). When written objections have been filed, the district court is required to "make a de novo determination of those portions of the report or specified proposed findings or recommendations to which objection is made." 28 U.S.C. § 636(b)(1); see also Local Rule IB 3-2(b). Where a party fails to object, however, a district court is not required to conduct "any review," de novo or otherwise, of the report and recommendations of a magistrate judge. Thomas v. Arn, 474 U.S. 140, 149 (1985).

Pursuant to Local Rule IB 3-2(a), objections were due by February 8, 2015. No objections have been filed. The Court has reviewed the record in this case and concurs with the Magistrate Judge's recommendation(s) that (ECF No. 11) MOTION for Reversal and/or Remand be denied.

FURTHER RECOMMENDED that (ECF No. 16) Cross MOTION for Summary Judgment be granted. Therefore, the Court has determined that Magistrate Judge's Recommendation should be **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** to the extent that it is not inconsistent with this opinion. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that the Report and Recommendation (ECF No. 19) is **ACCEPTED** and **ADOPTED** in full. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that Plaintiff's Motion for Reversal and/or Remand [Doc #11] is denied. IT IS FURTTHER ORDERED that Defendant's Cross-Motion for Summary Judgment [Doc #16] is granted. The Clerk shall enter judgment accordingly and close case. **DATED** this 31th day of March, 2015. RICHARD F. BOULWARE, II. United States District Court Judge